



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/844,716	04/25/2001	Carol S. Gruchala	8285/430	1846	
757	7590 07/27/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE P.O. BOX 10395			BUI, B	BUI, BING Q	
CHICAGO,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2642		
			DATE MAILED: 07/27/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/844,716	GRUCHALA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	<u>.</u>	Bing Q. Bui	2642				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	03 May 2005.					
2a)⊠		This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ 5)□	Claim(s) <u>27-33,35-39,41-49,51 and 53-77</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wit Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>27-33,35-39,41-49,51 and 53-77</u> Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	thdrawn from consideration. I is/are rejected.	on.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.					
10)⊠	D)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 April 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection t		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the c The oath or declaration is objected to by the		, ,				
Priority ເ	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Bose the attached detailed Office action for	ments have been received. ments have been received in A e priority documents have been ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage				
Attachmen	` '						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/S No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's Amendment filed on 5/03/2005 has been entered. Claims 27, 35, 44, 45, 51 and 65-67 have been amended. Claims 1-26, 34, 40, 50 and 52 have been cancelled. No claims have been added. Claims 27-33, 35-39, 41-49, 51 and 53-71 are still pending in this application, wherein claims 27, 44 and 45 being independent.

Priority

2. This application filed under former 37 CFR 1.60 lacks the necessary reference to the prior application. A statement reading "This is a continuation of Application No. 08/723,853, filed 30 September 1996." should be entered following the title of the invention or as the first sentence of the specification. Also, the current status of all nonprovisional parent applications referenced should be included.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 27-33, 35-39, 41-49, 51 and 53-67 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaffer et al (US Pat No. 6,130,935) in view of London (US Pat No. 5,590,184) cited by Applicant, herein after referred as Shaffer.

Regarding claim 27, Shaffer teaches the invention as claimed, a method of providing a work-at-home telecommunication service, the method comprising:

(a) receiving a dialed number from a calling party, said calling party being associated with a calling party identification number (col 1, ln 57-col 2, ln 6).

(b) automatically modifying the calling party identification number to an identification number of a group associated with the calling party (col 1, In 57-col 2, In 6 and col 4, In 28-41).

Shaffer differs from claimed invention in which it does not teach the method of passing of the calling party identification number of the group associated with the calling party. However, London teaches the method of passing a modified calling party identification that modified from number to the called party, wherein the modified calling party identification is modified from the calling party's actual telephone number (see col. 1, ln 61-col. 2, ln 25). Therefore, integrating London's teachings into work-at-home system of Shaffer would have been obvious for providing the called party the true nature of the call and protecting the calling party's privacy.

Regarding claim 28, Shaffer teaches the invention as claimed, the method further comprising the step of receiving a service specific code from the calling party (col 3, ln 8-38).

Regarding claim 29, Shaffer teaches the invention as claimed, the method further comprising the step of receiving a vertical service code from the calling party (col 3, ln 8-38).

Regarding claim 30, Shaffer teaches the invention as claimed, the method further comprising the step of receiving an access code from the calling party (col 3, In 8-38).

Application/Control Number: 09/844,716

Art Unit: 2642

Regarding claim 31, Shaffer teaches the invention as claimed, the method further comprising the step of receiving a personal identification number from the calling party (col 1, ln 57-col 2, ln 6).

Regarding claim 32, Shaffer teaches the invention as claimed, wherein step (b) further comprises the step of sending a query to a service control point, the query comprising the calling party identification number (col 3, In 39-61).

Claims 33, 41-43, 53-54, 60, 62 and 65, they are rejected for the same reasons as recited in the rejection of claim 27.

Regarding claims 35 and 51, Shaffer teaches the invention substantially as claimed, with the exception of providing the step of sending the modified calling party identification number to a called party associated with the dialed number in response to a failure of receiving a privacy access code from the calling party. However, it is obvious that Shaffer et al suggest that in response to a call made to a non-virtual network called party, the only ANI associated with a work-at-home agent is translated (modified) into a number of a group and this translated (modified) number is sent along with non-virtual network called party number to an IEC that routes the call to the recipient called party (col 3, ln 39-61). London teaches a number modified from caller identification number is sent to caller-id display unit associated with called party (Abstract and col 3, ln 8-42).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the Caller-ID service as taught by London that enables the combined system of Shaffer to send Art Unit: 2642

the identification of business group in place of the agent's identification to a recipient called party.

Regarding claims 36 and 57, Shaffer teaches the invention as claimed, wherein the dialed number comprises a private virtual network number (col 3, In 24-38).

Regarding claims 37 and 56, Shaffer teaches the invention as claimed, the method of further comprising the step of translating the dialed number to a called party identification number (col 1, In 57-col 2, In 6 and col 4, In 42-65).

Regarding claim 38, Shaffer teaches the invention as claimed, the method of further comprising the step of determining a telecommunication carrier for the group associated with the calling party (col 3, ln 24-61).

Claims 39 and 58-59, they are rejected for the same reasons as recited in the rejection of claim 38.

Regarding claims 44-45, 55, 61, 63-64 and 66-67, there is provided by Shaffer a system which comprises appropriate means for carrying out the method according to claim 27.

Claims 46-49, they are rejected for the same reasons as recited in the rejection of claim 28.

Claims 68-71, they are rejected for the same reasons as recited in the rejection of claim 35.

Application/Control Number: 09/844,716 Page 6

Art Unit: 2642

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 27-33, 35-39, 41-49, 51 and 53-67 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bing Bui whose telephone number is (571) 272-7482.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (571) 272-7488. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300 and for formal communications intended for entry (please label the response

EXPEDITED PROCEDURE) or for informal or draft communications not intended for entry (please label the response "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2600.

12 July 2005

BING Q. BUI PRIMARY EXAMINER